

11:25

LSI Logic Corporation 1621 Barber Lane MS: AD-106, Legal Milpitas, CA 95035

Date December 2, 2005 Number of pages including cover sheet			CE	RECEIVED ENTRAL FAX CENTER DEC 0 2 2005
To: Mail Stop Non-Fee		From: Mark	Salvatore	
Amendment		Intellectual	Property Paralegal	
TC 1742 Fax No. (571) 273-8300		Telephone No.	(408) 433-7472	
Phone No.		Fax No.	(408) 433-7460	
REMARKS:	For your review	்றி Reply A	SAP 🖺 Pleas	se comment
Application Number: 10/693,143 Filing date: October 24, 2003 First named inventor: Berman, Michae Attorney docket number: 03-0702 Transmitted herewith for filing via facsimi • Amendment in response to the Off	ile:	Jovember 16, 20	05.	
Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. 1.8, I hereby certify Patent and Trademark Office on the date in		idence is being to	ansmitted by facsimil	e to the U.S.
December 2 2005		mils	20 11 -	
Date	Signature	Mark	Salvatore	

Please contact us at (408) 433-7191 if you do not receive all pages indicated above or experience any difficulty in receiving this facsimile.

This Facsimile is intended only for the use of the addressee and, if the addressee is a client or their agent, contains privileged and confidential information. If you are not the recipient of this facsimile, you have received this facsimile inadventently and in error. Any review, dissemination, distribution or conving is strictly prohibited. If you received this facsimile in error, please notify us by telephone and return the facsimile to us PAGE 1/4 * RCVD AT 12/2/2005 2:29:20 PM [Eastern Standard Time] * SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-6/28 * DNIS:2738300 * CSID:408 433 7460 * DURATION (mm-ss):01-22......

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.		atent and Tradem	ark Office;	PTC/\$B/21 (09-04) through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031 U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE			
Olida Diversional California (1999)	Application Number	10/693.143	on unesa i	Manata a yang olah ganitar managa.			
TRANSMITTAL	Filing Date	October 24, 2003	3	D=-			
FORM	First Named Inventor	Berman, Michael	J.	CENTRAL			
	Art Unit	1742					
(to be used for all correspondence after initial i	Examiner Name	Alexander, Micha	ici P.	DEC n			
Total Number of Pages In This Submission	3 Attorney Docket Number	03-0702					
ENCLOSURES (Check all that apply)							
Fee Transmittal Form Fee Attached Amendment/Reply After Final Affidavits/declaration(s) Extension of Time Request Express Abandonment Request Information Disclosure Statement Certified Copy of Priority Document(s) Reply to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application Reply to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53	Drawing(s) Licensing-related Papers Petition Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application Power of Attorney, Revocation Change of Correspondence A Terminal Disclaimer Request for Refund CD, Number of CD(s) Landscape Table on CD Remarks - Response to Official	n didress	Appe: of App Appe: (Appe Propr Status Other below				
SIGNA	TURE OF APPLICANT, ATTO	RNEY, OR A	GENT				
Firm Name LSI Logic Corporation							
Signature Remitted							
Printed name Timothy R. Croll	·		···				
Date 1 Dec 05	F	Reg. No. 36,7	71				
I hereby certify that this correspondence is be sufficient postage as first class mail in an envithe date shown below:		O or deposited w	ith the Ur				
Signature	lle	:	,				
Typed or printed name Mark Salvatore				12-2-05			

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The Information is required to obtain or retain a bonefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the Individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Office, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

In re Application of: Michael J. Berman, et al.

al.

Description: Description of: Michael J. Berman, et al.

Examiner: Michael P. Alexander

Atty. Docket No.: 03-0702

Filed: October 24, 2003

For: ABRASIVE ELECTROLYTE

Description: All J. Berman, et al.

Atty. Docket No.: 03-0702

Description: Description of: Michael J. Berman, et al.

Atty. Docket No.: 03-0702

Description: Description of: Michael J. Berman, et al.

Description: All J. Berman, et al.

Description: Michael P. Alexander

Description:

RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

DEC 0 2 2005

RESPONSE TO OFFICIAL ACTION Restriction/Election Requirement

Hon. Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

This response is presented to the Office Action mailed November 16, 2005, wherein the Examiner required restriction pursuant to 35 U.S.C. §121. Election is hereby made, with traverse, to prosecute Group I, i.e., solution claims 1-15.

Remarks/Arguments

Reconsideration of the restriction is respectfully requested. Restriction is not required by 35 U.S.C. §121, as suggested in the Office Action. Congress wisely granted the discretion to restrict applications. According to 35 U.S.C, §121 "... the Commissioner may require the application to be restricted...." (emphasis added).

Furthermore, MPEP § 803 lists two criteria that must be present for restriction to be proper:

- 1) The inventions must be independent or distinct as claimed; and
- 2) There must be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is required.

In searching the Group I claims, the class and subclass for the Group II claims will undoubtedly be searched, to ensure that no relevant art is overlooked. For this reason, there is no significant burden on the examiner, and certainly no serious burden as required by MPEP §121.

11:25

In fact, maintaining the requirement for restriction not only burdens applicants with the additional costs associated with filing and prosecuting separate patent applications, but also requires the examiner to duplicate efforts by examining multiple applications of closely related inventions. Such practice not only wastes public and private funds and Patent Office resources, but also leads to the possibility of inconsistent examinations of closely related inventions. Accordingly, applicants respectfully request that the examiner reconsider and withdraw the restriction requirement.

In light of the foregoing, applicants respectfully submit that a full and complete response to the Office Action is provided herein, and request that the application proceed to examination.

In the event this response is not timely filed, applicants hereby petition for the appropriate extension of time and request that the fee for the extension along with any other fees which may be due with respect to this paper be charged to deposit account 12-2252.

Respectfully Submitted,

Michael J. Berman, et al.

By Timothy R. Croll Reg. No. 36,771

(408) 433-7625 LSI LOGIC CORPORATION 1621 Barber Lane M/S D-106 Milpitas, CA 95035-7451

Date: November 29, 2005